Program Assessment Report DBCALFS ANSC MS Program University of Arkansas Academic Year 2021-2022

1. Animal Science Department, B111 AFLS, 575-3745

2. Department Mission:

The Department of Animal Science shall be a leading authority of animal agriculture by means of innovative research, teaching and extension programs for all Arkansans and the world.

3. Program Goals: The Department of Animal Science will 1) perform research from discovery to application that benefits the production efficiency, animal health/well-being, food safety/security, and sustainability of animal agriculture, 2) recruit, educate, and prepare for the future, a new generation of citizens that will provide expertise in food production, animal health/well-being, as well as human health and nutrition, and 3) provide research-based livestock and forage information through non-formal educational methods for the sustainability and management of agricultural production systems to improve Arkansans quality of life.

4. Student Learning Outcome #1:

Graduate students will demonstrate a basic knowledge of statistics, an in-depth knowledge of their specific thesis research area and a general knowledge of other research in the Department. Areas of emphasis may include animal nutrition, genetics, physiology, muscle foods, parasitology and forages.

A. Assessment Measure 1

- If thesis student -- completion of the thesis and successful defense to the faculty, turning in thesis to graduate school.
- If non-thesis student completion of oral exam by committee.
- Indirect
- Report on number of graduates was obtained from Institutional Research Summary of Findings:
 - 2 students completed oral exams and graduated with non-thesis degrees.
 - 0 students completed oral exams and a thesis and graduated.

5. Student Learning Outcome #2

Graduate students will demonstrate problem solving skills.

Not reported this year.

6. Student Learning Outcome #3

Graduate students will be able to communicate effectively in a) oral and b) written form.

• Oral Communication (rubric at end of report)

- A single committee (3 faculty members) and the mentor of the other student used this rubric. Both students were scored as Meets Expectations for all categories.
- Written Communication (rubric at end of report)
 - o No written communication rubrics were completed as no thesis M.S. students graduated this year.

7. Overall Recommendations

 Rubrics for assessing the Student Learning Outcomes #3 were used sporadically this year by mentors. Emphasis must continue to be placed on completing the rubrics at the conclusion of all defenses. And it should be emphasized that all committee members are to independently complete the rubrics.

8. Action Plan

- The Department's Administrative Assistant has digital copies of the rubrics that will be used to report Learning Outcomes 2 and/or 3 next year. These rubrics will be handed to major professors at the start of each defense. Each committee member is supposed to complete the rubric.
- Faculty will continue to mentor M.S. students as they have successfully done in previous years.

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Base Expectations	Meets Expectations Well	Exceeds Expectations
for ORAL	Provide a short explanation for each attribute that you select in this category (Equivalent to Benchmark =1 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)	(Equivalent to Milestone = 2 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)	Results BETWEEN a basic meeting of expectations and exceeding expectations (Equivalent to Milestone = 3 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)	(Equivalent to Capstone = 4 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized	Clearly organized	Clearly organized	Well organized (introduction, conclusion, sequenced material in the body, transitions)
	Poor presentation	Clear presentation	Clearer presentation	Professional presentation
	Poor communication skills	Good communication skills	Better communication skills	Excellent communication skills
	Slides and handouts difficult to read	Slides and handouts clear	Slides and handouts good	Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable	Presentation acceptable	Presentation good	Presentation superior
	Presentation reveals critical weakness in depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals adequate depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge
	Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
	Presentation is narrow in scope	Presentation reveals the draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
	No application to ANSC	Application to ANSC evident	Application to ANSC evident	Presentation shows clear application to ANSC
	Responses are incomplete or required prompting	Responses are complete	Responses are more complete	Responses are eloquent
	Arguments are poorly presented	Arguments are well organized	Arguments are well organized	Arguments are skillfully presented
Quality of response to questions	Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits good knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
	Responses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)	Response meets level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)	Response meets level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)	Responses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)
	Student does not realize the connection of research to ANSC	Student adequately connects research to ANSC	Student adequately connects research to ANSC	Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research to ANSC
Overall assessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds Expectations

Confidential comments:					

Attribute for WRITTEN	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute that you select in this category (Equivalent to Benchmark = 1 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)	Meets Base Expectations (Equivalent to Milestone = 2 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)	Meets Expectations Well Results BETWEEN a basic meeting of expectations and exceeding expectations (Equivalent to Milestone = 3 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)	Exceeds Expectations (Equivalent to Capstone = 4 on ANSC Undergraduate Rubric)
	Arguments are incorrect, incoherent, or flawed	Arguments are correct	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
	Objectives are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrated rudimentary	Demonstrates average critical	Demonstrates above average	Exhibits mature, critical thinking
	critical thinking skills	thinking skills	critical thinking skills	skills
Overall quality of science	Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Reflects good understanding of subject matter and associated literature	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature
or science	Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates some understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts
	Demonstrates limited originality	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates good originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
	Displays limited creativity and insight	Displays creativity and insight	Displays good creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and insight
	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Good evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
Contribution to	Limited expansion upon previous research	Builds upon previous research	Extends previous research	Greatly extends previous research
discipline	Limited theoretical or applied significance	Reasonable theoretical or applied significance	Good theoretical or applied significance	Exceptional theoretical or applied significance
	Limited publication impact	Reasonable publication impact	Good publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
	Duplication of previous work. Design/approach not appropriate	Design/approach moderately appropriate or innovative	Design/approach appropriate	Design/approach appropriate and innovative
Experimental design	Data interpretation is inappropriate and/or uses	Data interpretation is appropriate and uses limited number of	Data interpretation is appropriate and uses correct	Data interpretation is appropriate and creatively uses correct
implementation	incorrect methodology	correct methodology	methodology	methodology
and	Identifies no weakness in interpretation	Identifies some weaknesses in interpretation	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation
interpretation	Demonstrates a lack of ability	Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability
	to articulate a critical response	articulate a critical response in	articulate a critical response to	to articulate a critical response to
	in one's own work or that of	one's own work or that of other	one's own work or that of	one's own work or that of other
	other research in the field	research in the files	other research in the field	research in the field
0 III 6	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is good	Writing is publication quality
Quality of	Numerous grammatical and	Some grammatical and spelling	Limited grammatical or spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors
writing	spelling errors	errors apparent	errors apparent	apparent

	Organization is poor Documentation is poor	Organization is logical Documentation is adequate	Organization is good Documentation is good	Organization is excellent Documentation is excellent				
Overall assessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets base expectations	Meets expectations well	Exceeds Expectations				
Confidential comments:								